## Data Report <br> for the Academic Year 2021-22

## Department of Education Services <br> Ministry of Education <br> Cayman Islands Government

This Data Report consists of enrolment data for both government and private schools as well as attendance and achievement data for government schools only.

## Introduction

The compulsory education system in the Cayman Islands (CI) is comprised of primary and secondary levels divided by year groups and Key Stages (KS) as shown in Table 1. Reception is included in the table as an indication that the reception year is being offered in public schools but is not currently a part of compulsory education. The Education Act (2016) defines Reception as the class into which a child is admitted the year immediately prior to attaining compulsory school age.

Table 1: The Organisation of the CI Compulsory Education System

| Early Years Provision | Primary Provision |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary Provision |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Key Stage 1 |  | Key Stage 2 |  |  |  | Key Stage 3 |  |  | Key Stage 4 |  |  |
| Reception | Year 1 | Year 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Year 4 | Year 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Year 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | Year 10 | Year <br> 11 | Year 12 |
| 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 12-13 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |

Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE) provision is largely a function of the private sector with Government providing the oversight and regulatory framework for this sector. ECCE provision is categorised as:

- ECCE Private Centres: Centres owned by private individuals/companies who provide care and education services for children younger than compulsory school age;
- ECCE Settings in Private Schools: Services/programmes for children under compulsory school age which are attached or affiliated with registered private schools;
- ECCE Settings in Public Schools: Services/programmes for children under compulsory school age which are attached or affiliated with Government schools (i.e. Reception or Nursery programmes).


## Enrolment Data

The enrolment data outlined as part of this report is sourced from all government and private schools in the Cayman Islands including centres providing early childhood care and education.

## Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE)

Table 2 shows that there were 2005 children enrolled in Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE) Centres across the Cayman Islands of which 986 (49.2\%) were females and 1019 ( $50.8 \%$ ) males.

Table 2
Enrolment by type of ECCE centre and district

|  | George <br> Town | West <br> Bay | Bodden <br> Town | North <br> Side | East <br> End | Sister <br> Islands | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Centres | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| Total Enrolment | $\mathbf{1 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| Enrolment by setting: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ECCE private centres | 865 | 78 | 74 | - | - | 34 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 1}$ |
| ECCE settings in private schools | 658 | 10 | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{6 6 8}$ |
| ECCE settings in government schools | 102 | 55 | 69 | 11 | 8 | 41 | $\mathbf{2 8 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolment by Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | 813 | 64 | 63 | 5 | 4 | 37 | $\mathbf{9 8 6}$ |
| Boys | 812 | 79 | 80 | 6 | 4 | 38 | $\mathbf{1 0 1 9}$ |

The number of children in early childhood centres increased this year by 13 percentage points (pp) over the 2021 enrolment figures. The increase favoured private centres over government centres: 10.2\% increase across private centres and private schools; $2.5 \%$ increase across government centres.

Figure 1 shows the percentage distribution across the private and public provision. Indications are that ECCE centres are serviced largely by the private sector; government provides services for a small section of the population.

Figure 1
Distribution of ECCE Settings


## Primary and Secondary Enrolment

Table 3 details the enrolment figures and staffing ratios for primary and secondary schools as of September 30, 2021. There were 8936 students enrolled in compulsory education relatively evenly distributed by gender: 4410 (49\%) female; 4526 ( $51 \%$ ) male; this figure does not include students enrolled in special needs education at the Lighthouse School, which stands at 118 students. While the enrolment figures increased by 6pp, the ratio of male to female students remained approximately the same.

Of this total mandatory school age population, 4514 ( $50.5 \%$ ) were enrolled in primary education while 4422 (49.5\%) were enrolled in secondary education.

## Table 3

Enrolment staffing data by school type and district

|  | George Town | West Bay | Bodden Town | North Side | East <br> End | Sister Islands | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Schools | 15 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 27 |
| Total Enrolment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolment by school | 6404 | 638 | 644 | 896 | 66 | 288 | 8936 |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private schools | 2102 | 99 | - | - | - | - | 2201 |
| Government schools | 928 | 443 | 613 | 80 | 61 | 123 | 2248 |
| Homeschool | 32 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 65 |
| Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private schools | 1778 | 82 | - | - | - | - | 1860 |
| Government schools | 1540 | - |  | 807 | - | 162 | 2509 |
| Homeschool | 27 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 53 |
| Enrolment by gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | 3185 | 304 | 303 | 446 | 30 | 142 | 4410 |
| Boys | 3219 | 334 | 341 | 450 | 36 | 146 | 4526 |
| Staffing and student teacher ratios by school type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Private <br> Schools <br> (All Years) | Gov. <br> Schools Primary | Gov. Schools Secondary |  | ool | Total (Excluding Special Education) | Special Education (Lighthouse School) |
| Students | 4061 | 2248 | 2509 |  |  | 8936 | 117 |
| Teachers | 405 | 191 | 242 |  |  | 838 | 19 |
| Student Teacher Ratio | 10 | 12 | 10 |  |  | 11 | 6 |

At the primary level, $50.2 \%$ of the students enrolled were in private education and $49.8 \%$ in public education representing a 4 pp growth over the 2021 figures in favour of the private sector. At the secondary level, the percentage of the mandatory school age population was $43 \%$ and $57 \%$ for private and public education respectively (See Figure 2).

Figure 2
Comparison of the enrolment figures for private and public schools over a two-year period


Generally, $45 \%$ (3755) of the mandatory school age population attended private schools while 55\% (4679) were in public education. This represents an approximate 2.3pp increase in enrolment over the 2021 figures in favour of private education (See Figure 2).

Other details as it relates to student enrolment data by age group are provided in Appendix A.

## Attendance Data

Attendance is mandatory for all students of compulsory age legally resident in the Cayman Islands. The Education Law, 2016 (chrome-
extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://legislation.gov.ky/cms/images/LEGISLATION/PRI NCIPAL/2016/2016-0048/EducationAct_Act\%2048\%20of\%202016.pdf) mandates a total of 185 days of formal instruction for schools in the Cayman Islands.

Government Schools are required to take attendance registers twice daily indicating whether students are:

- Present
- Attending an approved educational activity
- Absent: unable to attend school due to exceptional circumstances; absences may be classified as either authorised or unauthorised.

The average attendance for academic year 2021-22 in government schools was approximately 88.9\% (See Figure 3). When the Cayman Islands Further Education Centre's (CIFEC) is excluded, the national average increases by approximately 1.5 pp to $90.4 \%$.

Figure 3
Average Attendance for the Academic Year 2021-22 for Government Schools


Detailed analysis of the categories of the various schools shows the following:

1. At the primary level (including Reception which is not part of compulsory education), the average attendance is $90.6 \%$. This increases marginally to $90.9 \%$ when the Reception Year is excluded.
2. At the secondary level, the average attendance is listed as $87.3 \%$. If the attendance of the Cayman Islands Further Education Centre (CIFEC) is excluded from the attendance statistics, the average attendance for secondary schools increases by approximately 3.1 pp , to $90.4 \%$

Attendance trends over the ten-year period indicate that attendance at the primary level has remained relatively stable over the period considered (see Figure 4). The average over the period was approximately $93.8 \%$. The graph shows a 3.2 pp reduction from the average and may be attributed to continued impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is also noteworthy that these attendance figures also include the Reception year which is not considered part of the compulsory education framework.

Figure 4
Attendance trends over the period 2012-13 to 2021-22) for government schools


At the secondary level, not including CIFEC, the attendance graph shows a slightly positive trend averaging $92.9 \%$ for the period considered. This very modest general improvement is also evident in the national attendance metrics when CIFEC is excluded.

Raising overall attendance standards is linked to improvements in the attendance monitoring and consistency of recording. Given that attendance is closely linked to students' attainment, the ongoing work of targeting truancy and absenteeism will continue to be prioritised. This work targets the strengthening of efforts to assess and identify risks associated with student disengagement and the development of appropriate strategies to effectively address these risks.

Further analyses of attendance data by schools are provided in Appendix B.

## Attainment Data

For the purposes of this report, attainment and progress are discussed in terms of the defined national expectations at the end of Key Stage 2 (Year 6) and Key Stage 4 (Years 11 and 12).

## End of Key Stage 2 (Year 6)

The Cl Government adopted, adapted and implemented the 2014 UK Curriculum in all public primary schools in August 2019. The assessment model for this new curriculum defines attainment in terms of a scaled score ranging from 80 to 120 . A scaled score of 100 or more, indicates that the student has met the expected standard in the test.

A scaled score is a best practice representation linked to a raw score - the total number of correct responses provided by a candidate in a test. While tests are developed to the same specification each year, the use of a scale score accounts for the potential differences in difficulty across test forms and facilitates accurate comparisons of performance over time.

Students are tested in the areas of English reading, English grammar, punctuation and spelling, and in mathematics. To be awarded a scaled score, students must take each test paper for the subject. The results obtained are reported to schools as:

- a raw score
- a scaled score (except where students have too few marks to be awarded the lowest scaled score - 3 or lower)
- either 'NS' (expected standard not achieved) or 'AS' (expected standard achieved)

Writing is assessed using teacher judgements and is based on a range of classroom evidence (analysis of children's work in books, results of class tests/published tests, on-going focused marking, notes of students' observations, etc.). These judgments are a critical part of assessing progress and achievement, and bear fidelity to the teacher assessment frameworks.

Teacher Judgements are externally moderated and quality assured using trained Moderators with a thorough knowledge and understanding of the assessment frameworks and a proven track record of recognising the expected standard and making accurate judgements about the quality of writing.

The expected attainment standard is considered the minimum requirement to independently and successfully navigate the primary and secondary education provision.

## KS2 Performance Data

The KS2 Standard Assessment Tests were administered in May 2022. This is the first time that these tests were administered since the introduction of the new National Curriculum in August 2019.

An analysis of the results is shown in Figure 5. Results indicate that:
$58 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard in grammar, punctuation and spelling (GAPS)
$42 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard in reading
$42 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard in mathematics
$46 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard in writing (Teacher assessed and externally moderated)
$27 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard in reading, writing and mathematics
Figure 5
Year 6 Attainment: Based on end of year school-based attainment tests


The percentage of the cohort meeting the expected standard is inclusive of the percentage which exceeded the expected standard:14\% in GAPS; $5 \%$ in writing; $8 \%$ in mathematics. Only $1 \%$ of the cohort exceeded the standard in reading.

Figure 6 shows a comparison between the performance for the girls and boys. Figures indicate that the girls outperformed the boys in English by on average 5pp. This situation is reversed in mathematics showing the boys outperforming the girls by 14 pp .

Figure 6
Year 6 performance indicators by gender


The Cognitive Abilities Test Fourth Edition (CAT4): CAT4 is a suite of tests which assesses a student's reasoning (thinking) abilities in the key areas that support educational development and academic attainment. CAT4 comprises the following sections or batteries: Reasoning Battery - thinking with words; Quantitative (or Numerical) Reasoning Battery - thinking with numbers; Non-verbal Reasoning Battery thinking with shapes; Spatial Ability Battery - thinking with shape and space.

CAT4 is also used as a valuable screening tool to identify a pupil's strengths, weaknesses and learning preferences. It aids in the development of challenging but realistic targets. Variations between the potential measured by CAT4 and actual outcomes can form the basis for further investigation of potential barriers to learning.

When compared against the CAT4 predictors for this cohort, the data suggests that students are significantly below their CAT predictors in all areas under consideration, reading and mathematics show the highest variance of 48 pp and 49 pp respectively (See Figure 7).

Figure 7
Year 6 Attainment: Based on end of year school-based attainment tests


Further analysis of the KS2 results by school are detailed in Appendix C and D.

## Key Stage 4 (KS4) Performance Indicators

This report will reflect and describe the performance of the current cohort as per national requirements. A summary of students' cumulative attainment in the following Level 1 (L1) or Level 2 (L2) qualifications is reported in this document:

- Caribbean Secondary Certificate of Education (CSEC)
- General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE)
- International General Certificate of Secondary Education (iGCSE)
- Business and Technical Education Council (BTEC) awards
- Institute of the Motor Industry (IMI) awards
- Award Scheme Accreditation and Development Network (ASDAN) awards
- City \& Guilds certificates

Generally, qualifications achieved by students are defined in terms of a difficulty level. The established convention for interpreting the level of the qualification is: the higher the level, the more difficult the qualification. The levels are based on the standards of knowledge, skill and competences needed for each qualification.

Specifics regarding the delineation of qualifications accepted in the Cayman Islands are listed in the Cayman Islands' National Qualification Framework (CINQF) (See Appendix E). Students at KS4 are expected to pursue Level 2 qualifications. The national expectation is that students will achieve a minimum of five Level 2 qualifications including English and mathematics by the end of KS4.

## Year 11

The key performance indicators for the 2022 Year 11 cohort are shown in Figure 8. The highlights for the cohort are:
66.1\% achieved a L2 qualification in English Language
39.7\% achieved a L2 qualification in mathematics.
66.3\% achieved a L2 qualification in science.
$37.5 \%$ achieved the national expected standard.
$32.9 \%$ exceeded the national expected standard (7 or more subjects at L2 including English and mathematics).

Of the 155 students achieving the expected standard, 136 ( $87.7 \%$ ) exceeded the standard, thus achieving the distinction of being classified as 'honours' students.

This is a critically important metric; it supports the view that the schools are achieving significant success at optimizing performance for the more able students.

The ratio of students in this category has remained relatively stable over the past three years. However, a 13pp growth was realised over 2017 figures.

Figure 8
Year 11 Key performance indicators (2022)


Figure 9 further compares the 2022 performance indicators with those obtained in 2021. Indications are that except for single science, all other key performance indicators show a decline over the 2021 figures by on average 3pp. On the other hand, science figures increased marginally by $2.9 p p$ over the 2021 figures.

Figure 9
Comparison of 2021 and 2022 Year 11 key performance indicators


## Year 11 General Performance Trends

Figure 10 summarises the performance trends in the key areas over a seven-year period. Performance trends indicate that aside from the 2020 and 2021 assessment periods, the trendline for most performance indicators have remained relatively flat over time (See Appendix F). However, a marginal growth trend is still evident for the period considered across all indicators.

The chart also illustrates that attainment achieved in English continues to significantly outpace the attainment of mathematics by on average 26.4 pp over the period considered. It is also evident that a direct correlation exists between the national expectation (five or more subjects including English and mathematics) and achievement in mathematics. This suggests that success in raising the number of students achieving the national standard is tied to the ability of school to raise achievement standards in mathematics.

The percentage of students achieving a science subject has grown significantly over time. While the 2021 and 2022 figures show a decrease over the 2020 figures, the resultant trajectory remains positive. This indicates that strategies supporting system wide improvement in science are showing signs of making significant impact and by extension adding value to the system.

Figure 10
Year 11 Key performance indicators (2022)


## Student Characteristics

## 1. Gender

There were 413 students in this cohort of which 228 (55.2\%) were boys and 185 (44.8\%) were girls. Appendix $G$ shows the relative performance comparisons by school and gender.

In addition, Figure 11 compares the performances of boys and girls relative to their respective cohorts across six key performance measures. The chart reveals that in general, there are significant performance differences between the boys and girls across all the indicators considered. English accounts for the largest average performance difference to the tune of 19.8 pp . The average difference for mathematics is approximately 9.7 pp and 11.5 pp for the national expected standard.

Figure 11
Year 11 Key performance indicators by gender (2022)


## 2. Prior Attainment

Performance on the Cognitive Abilities Tests (CAT4) is used within our system to provide further clarity surrounding overall achievement when interpreting examination results.

The population distribution for the CAT4 test is a 'normal' bell curve with a mean score of 100. The distribution for the 2022 Year 11 cohort is right skewed, mean score 91 (See Figure 12).
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Figure 12
Prior Attainment: Distribution for scores obtained in CAT4 test
Distribution of scores for all students (by battery) compared with those for the national sample


Standard Age Score bands

The Year 11 cohort was divided into attainment groups based on the mean CAT4 score achieved on the test. Students' attainment was grouped and analysed based on the attainment categories defined Table 4.

Table 4
Prior Attainment: Students grouping by the Mean Score obtained in the CAT4 test

| Group | CAT4 Mean Score | No. of <br> Students | $\%$ of <br> Cohort |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| High Attainment | Greater 105 | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| Average Attainment | From 95-105 | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Low Attainment | Below 95 | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
| No CAT 4 Score | Students who did not take the test | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Cohort Total |  | $\mathbf{4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The characterisations of the CAT 4 test results defined in Table 4 support the expectation that at least $43 \%$ of the cohort will attain acceptable grades in the core subject areas - English, mathematics and single science.

The actual examination results exceeded predictions in English and science by approximately 23pp and 24 pp respectively. Math fell below expectations by 4 pp . Table 5 also shows that following metrics:

High Category. 98\% in this category achieved acceptable grades in English, 82\% in mathematics, and 98\% in science.

Average Category. 87\% of the students in this category achieved acceptable grades in English, 65\% in mathematics, and $87 \%$ in science.

Low Category. 48\% of the students in this category achieved acceptable grades in English, 16\% in mathematics, and $48 \%$ in science.

No CAT4 Score. 56\% in this category achieved acceptable grades in English, 33\% in mathematics, and $78 \%$ in science.

These figures suggest that the students in the high and average categories are performing largely as per expectations in English and science and to a lesser extent, mathematics. That is, strategies employed to drive performance in these areas are reaping good success.

## Table 5

Comparison of Cognitive Ability Indicators and Actual Performance English, mathematics and science

| CAT 4 Ability Groupings | No Grade | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (F / G) \end{gathered}$ | 5 (E) | 4 (D) | 3 (C) | 2 (B) | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \left(A / A^{*}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Acceptable Grade | Cohort Totals | Acceptable Grade (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High CAT 4 Score (Above 105) | 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 19 | 26 | 50(51) | 51 | 98\% |
| Average CAT 4 Score (95-105) | 3 |  | 2 | 12 | 25 | 48 | 36 | 109(126) | 126 | 87\% |
| Low CAT 4 Score (Below 95) | 22 | 1 | 34 | 61 | 55 | 52 | 2 | 109(227) | 227 | 48\% |
| No CAT 4 Score | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 5(9) | 9 | 56\% |
| Total (per grade category) | 26 | 1 | 38 | 75 | 87 | 122 | 64 | 273(413) | 413 | 66\% |
| Percentage (by grade category) | 6.3\% | 0.2\% | 9.2\% | 18.2\% | 21.1\% | 29.5\% | 15.5\% |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High CAT 4 Score (Above 105) | 1 | 7 | 1 |  | 6 | 19 | 17 | 42(51) | 51 | 82\% |
| Average CAT 4 Score (95-105) | 7 | 28 | 9 |  | 43 | 34 | 5 | 82(126) | 126 | 65\% |
| Low CAT 4 Score (Below 95) | 46 | 84 | 60 | 1 | 29 | 7 |  | 36(227) | 227 | 16\% |
| No CAT 4 Score | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 3(9) | 9 | 33\% |
| Total (per grade category) | 55 | 121 | 73 | 1 | 80 | 60 | 23 | 163(413) | 413 | 39\% |
| Percentage (by grade category) | 13.3\% | 29.3\% | 17.7\% | 0.2\% | 19.4\% | 14.5\% | 5.6\% |  |  |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High CAT 4 Score (Above 105) |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50(51) | 51 | 98\% |
| Average CAT 4 Score (95-105) | 5 |  | 1 | 10 | 45 | 41 | 24 | 110(126) | 126 | 87\% |
| Low CAT 4 Score (Below 95) | 22 | 4 | 37 | 54 | 61 | 37 | 12 | 110(227) | 227 | 48\% |
| No CAT 4 Score |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 |  | 7(9) | 9 | 78\% |
| Total (per grade category) | 27 | 4 | 39 | 66 | 120 | 96 | 61 | 277(413) | 413 | 67\% |
| Percentage (by grade category) | 6.5\% | 1.0\% | 9.4\% | 16.0\% | 29.1\% | 23.2\% | 14.8\% |  |  |  |

The development and deployment of strategies aimed at identifying and addressing performance gaps particularly for students in the low CAT score group are on-going and are showing evidence of success. Given that this category represents a significant proportion of the cohort, improvement in the performance outcomes in this category will continue to drive the improvement in performance across the system.

## 3. Added Value Metric

The scatter plots shown in Figures 13, 14 and 15 compare the value-added relative to the CAT4 mean obtained by students, for the core subjects English, mathematics, and science. The term 'value-added' speaks to the progress made by students relative to their starting points; this approach is considered a fairer method of assessing students' progress in contrast to relying solely on their exam performance.

Figure 13
Student Progress: Year 11 Value Added Indicators by Subject - English


## Figure 14

Student Progress: Year 11 Value Added Indicators by Subject - mathematics


Figure 15
Student Progress: Year 11 Value Added Indicators by Subject - Science


The results displayed in these charts for metrics defined imply that schools are able to add significant value to the system by progressing lesser able students to achieve acceptable grades particularly in

English and science. On average, the value-added point score for English is 1.06, (approximately 1 grade point added above expectations), and 1.06 for science (approximately 1 grade point added). The impact for mathematics is not as significant but still reflects a positive influence on overall outcomes to the tune of 0.2 (less than half a grade point).

## Additional Learning Needs

Students with additional needs are characterised according to the array of intervention strategies employed to support their continued development.

Teacher Action (TA) - Early Screening and Progress Monitoring: This Differentiated Instruction Plan will usually be developed by the classroom teacher.

School Action (SA) - School-Based Support Team (SBST) Individual Intervention Plans: P2 is similar to P1 but includes changes in measurable targets, development of alternative strategies, and an increased intensity and frequency of interventions.

School Action Plus (SA+) - Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) Eligibility and Individual Education Plans.

English as Second Language (ESL): describes non-native speakers of the English language.
Table 7 details the number of students and relative proportions of students receiving acceptable grades in each of these categories. For example, approximately $17 \%$ (70) of the Year 11 cohort was categorised as requiring SEND type provision. 30\% of these students achieved an acceptable grade in English, $16 \%$ in mathematics and $30 \%$ in science.

It is noteworthy that schools are empowered to employ an array of intervention strategies designed to support the continued development and success of these students. While the specific SEND need and provision is beyond the scope of this report, results do indicate a measure of success of these intervention programmes.

Table 6
Year 11 Key performance indicators by gender (2021)

| Categories | No Grade | VI(F/G) | V(E) | IV(D) | III (C) | II (B) | $\stackrel{I}{\left(A / A^{*}\right)}$ | Total | Acceptable grade (by Category) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESL | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1(3) | 33\% |
| SA | 2 |  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 4(13) | 31\% |
| SA+ | 10 |  | 21 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 21(70) | 30\% |
| TA | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 7 |  | 13(26) | 50\% |
| NO SEND Need | 14 |  | 9 | 44 | 67 | 104 | 63 | 234(301) | 78\% |
| Total | 26 | 1 | 38 | 75 | 87 | 122 | 64 | 273(413) | 66\% |
|  | 6\% | 0\% | 9\% | 18\% | 21\% | 30\% | 15\% |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESL | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 0(3) | 0\% |
| SA | 7 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 2(13) | 15\% |
| SA+ | 19 |  | 27 | 13 | 6 | 5 |  | 11(70) | 16\% |
| TA | 5 |  | 4 | 12 | 3 | 2 |  | 5(26) | 19\% |
| NO SEND Need | 23 |  | 41 | 92 | 70 | 52 | 23 | 145(301) | 48\% |
| Grand Total | 55 | 1 | 73 | 121 | 80 | 60 | 23 | 163(413) | 39\% |
|  | 13\% | 0\% | 18\% | 29\% | 19\% | 15\% | 6\% | 100\% |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESL | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1(3) | 33\% |
| SA | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4(13) | 31\% |
| SA+ | 14 | 1 | 18 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 21(70) | 30\% |
| TA | 2 |  | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 |  | 10(26) | 38\% |
| NO SEND Need | 14 | 2 | 13 | 37 | 101 | 81 | 53 | 235(301) | 78\% |
| Grand Total | 33 | 4 | 39 | 66 | 120 | 96 | 55 | 271(413) | 66\% |
|  | 8\% | 1\% | 9\% | 16\% | 29\% | 23\% | 13\% | 100\% |  |

Other specific indicators and findings regarding the cumulative attainment and data analytics for the 2022 Year 11 cohort are provided in Appendix H.

## Year 12: Performance Metrics

The highlights and relationships among the cumulative national key performance indicators for the Year 12 cohort are depicted in Figure 16.

Figure 16
Year 12 Cumulative Results: Key Performance Indicators


The chart shows that $76 \%$ and $67 \%$ of the cohort achieved level 2 qualifications in English and a single science respectively. $48 \%$ of the cohort achieved a qualification in mathematics. $46 \%$ of the cohort achieved the expected standard and $41 \%$ of the cohort exceeded the expected standard.

These system outputs are indicative of a significant performance decline over the 2021 figures in all of the performance indicators under consideration (See Figure 17). While the smallest gap (on average 11 pp ) is seen in English and the percentage of the cohort achieving five of more L2 Subjects, the other indicators are more significant - on average 18pp.

Figure 17
Year 12 Cumulative Results: Key Performance Indicators


Comparative trends over the last seven years are depicted in Figure 18. This line chart shows progress has been uneven over time. Aside from Science, the trendline for most outcomes can be described as relatively flat. However, the general trajectory is still marginally positive suggesting a small but gradual system wide improvement over time.

The chart also displays the direct relationship between performance in mathematics and the percentage of the cohort meeting national expectations. That is raising standards in this category is directly tied to raising standards in mathematics, which remains sluggish.

Figure 18
Year 12: Comparison of the Key National Performance Indicators over a 5-Year period


The number of students achieving the statistic ' 5 or more L2 qualifications' averaged $72.3 \%$ over the past seven years. This suggests that on average $21 \%$ of respective cohorts are achieving at a high academic standard but are failing to meet the nationally defined expected standard. This phenomenon has been identified as an area for targeted support and interventions.

The percentage of students achieving a L2 qualification in science has grown significantly over the period considered (See Figure 19). While values have fluctuated between its lowest point ( $37 \%$ in 2016) and its highest point ( $79 \%$ in 2021), the moving average line depicts a significantly positive improvement overtime. A difference of 30pp exists between the starting point in 2016 and the final point in 2022. This statistic speaks well of level and quality of improvement of science education in the system and the success of the underlying strategies which have been developed to drive the process.

Data Report<br>for the Academic Year 2021-22

Figure 19
Percentage of students achieving at least one science subject


## Gender Comparisons

Figure 20 shows proportion of girls and boys who achieved the national expected standard or higher in 2021 and 2022. In 2022, 49.6\% of the girls and $41.1 \%$ of the boys achieved the expected standard. This represents an 8.5 pp decline over the 2021 figures.

Figure 20
Year 12 Indicators: Gender performance comparisons


Over the period considered, comparative results suggest that girls are outperforming boys by significant margins - on average 19pp, in all key performance metrics (See Figure 21).

Figure 21
Year 12: Comparison of performance indicators over a 6-Year period by gender
The smallest variance among these indicators for female and male occurs in 2020 and 2021


## General Trends

Achievement at the expected standard continues to reflect improvement over time in the transition from Year 11 to 12 (See Figure 22). The chart shows an average 11pp increase in the percentage of students achieving the expected standard over the five-year period under consideration. In addition, the percentage of students achieving acceptable grades in English and mathematics have also seen growth by approximately 10 pp over the same period.

In addition to the expanded programmes offered during additional year, these results speak to the added benefit to students of the extended year of secondary education at KS4.

However, the chart also indicates a slippage in the value added by the transition over the last two years. This occurrence may be attributed in part to the residual effect of the spike in Year 11 examination results in 2020 and 2021 brought on by the modifications to the examinations' process during the COVID outbreak.

Data Report<br>for the Academic Year 2021-22

Figure 22
Year 12 cumulative performance compared to the achievement at the end of Year 11 (same cohort)


Further data analyses and comparisons related to KS4 results are made available in Appendix H to K . Subject specific end of KS4 data are detailed in Appendix H.

## Enrolment Data

Enrolment Distribution (by age) for government schools 2020-21 - including Lighthouse School

| Age | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys | Total |
| 3 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 years | 140 | 154 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 154 | 294 |
| 6 years | 138 | 166 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 166 | 304 |
| 7 years | 208 | 200 | 408 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 200 | 408 |
| 8 years | 209 | 213 | 422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 213 | 422 |
| 9 years | 217 | 218 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 218 | 435 |
| 10 years | 187 | 226 | 413 |  | 1 | 1 | 187 | 227 | 414 |
| 11 years | 7 | 22 | 29 | 228 | 212 | 440 | 235 | 234 | 469 |
| 12 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 214 | 442 | 228 | 214 | 442 |
| 13 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 224 | 442 | 218 | 224 | 442 |
| 14 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 235 | 452 | 217 | 235 | 452 |
| 15 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 211 | 378 | 167 | 211 | 378 |
| 16 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 178 | 354 | 176 | 178 | 354 |
| 17 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 26 | 56 | 30 | 26 | 56 |
| 18 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 19 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1106 | 1199 | 2305 | 1265 | 1304 | 2569 | 2371 | 2503 | 4874 |

## Appendix B

Average Student Attendance for Primary and Secondary Schools



Appendix C

## Key Stage 2 SATs results by school

Percentage of students meeting the expected standard Performance Indicators by School


## Appendix D

Key Stage 2 performance data: comparison of CAT4 predictions with actual outcomes

Comparison of Actual Attainment and CAT Predictors


## Appendix E

## Cayman Islands National Qualifications Framework (CINQF)

| NQF | Sample Qualifications |  | Educational Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 5 | - Postgraduate Degree |  | Tertiary or Advanced Professional Qualifications |
| Level 4 | - Bachelor's Degree or equivalent |  | Tertiary |
| Level 3 | - A-Levels <br> - IB Diploma <br> - BTEC Level 3 (Nationals) <br> - Trinity Music Grade 8 <br> - Associate Degree <br> - CAPE <br> - Advanced Placement |  | Key Stage 5 <br> Usually enables entry to the Bachelor's Degree (may also offer advanced standing) |
| Level 2 | - Cayman Islands Level 2 High School Diploma (Standard or Honours) <br> - CSEC (Grades I-III) <br> - GCSE/IGCSE (Grades A*-C) <br> - GED <br> - <br> - BTEC Level 2 (First diplomas) <br> - IMI Level 2 <br> - Trinity Music Grade 5 <br> - ASDAN (CoPE) |  | Years 10/11/12 <br> (Key Stage 4 - Standard High School) <br> National Curriculum Level 7-8 |
| Level 1 | - Cayman Islands Level 1 High School Diploma <br> - CSEC (Grades IV-VI) <br> - GCSE/IGCSE (Grades D-G) <br> - CCSLC (Grades C \& M) - BTEC Level 1 (Introductory) <br> - IMI Level 1 |  | Years 7/8/9 <br> (Key Stage 3 - Middle School) <br> National Curriculum Level 5-6 |
| Entry <br> Level | - Entry Level Certificates <br> - ASDAN Bronze Award | CoEA | Years 4/5/6 <br> (Key Stage 2 - Upper Primary) <br> National Curriculum Level 3-4 |
| Glossary of terms used in the CINQF |  |  |  |
| ALevel | Advanced level qualification. | These examinations typically allow for entrance into Bachelor level programmes |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASDA } \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | Award Scheme Development and Accreditation Network | This organization offers programmes and qualifications targeting skills for learning, employment and life. |  |
| BTEC | Business and Technology Educational Council | An examining body that validates and certifies vocational courses. |  |
| CAPE | Caribbean Advanced Proficiency Examination | This is an academic qualification awarded in a specified subject offered by the Caribbean Examinations Council; these examinations typically allow for entrance into Bachelor level programmes. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCSL } \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Caribbean Certificate of Secondary Level Competence | This is an academic qualification awarded in a specified subject offered by the Caribbean Examinations Council; the certification is based on a core of knowledge skills, attitudes and values targeting school leavers. |  |
| CoEA | Certificate of Educational Achievement | An entry level certification usually assessed in the final three years of secondary schooling. |  |
| CSEC | Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate | This is an academic qualification awarded in a range of subjects offered by the Caribbean Examinations Council. This award usually leads to entrance to further programmes of advanced study. |  |
| CXC | Caribbean Examinations Council | A regional examining body that offers examinations at various levels. |  |
| GCSE | General Certificate of Secondary Education | This is an academic qualification awarded in a specified subject, generally taken in a number of subjects by students aged 14-16 in secondary education. |  |
| GED | General Educational Development | GED tests are a group of five subject tests which, when passed, certify that the taker has American or Canadian high school level academic skills. |  |
| IB | International Baccalaureate | The IB Diploma typically allows for entrance into Bachelor level programmes. |  |
| IGCSE | International General Certificate of Secondary Education | This is an academic qualification awarded in a specified subject, generally taken in a number of subjects by students aged 14-16 in secondary education. |  |
| IMI | Institute of the Motor Industry | The IMI offers certification in courses such as Vehicle Maintenance and Repair at various levels of competence. |  |

## Appendix F

Year 11 Key Performance Indicators Chart showing Trend Lines


Appendix G
KS4 Performance Summary (Year 11)


Highlights:
School comparisons indicate the following with respect to gender performance:

- Generally, girls are outperforming boys.
- This trend is reversed at LSHS.
- The difference in performance is not as significant at JGHS as it is at CHHS


## Appendix H

## Year 11 Performance Summary

Table H1
Year 11 external examinations results summary data (2017-2022) by school

| Number of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cohort Size | Average no. Of entries/ student | 7+Level 2 <br> Subjects (inc. Eng and Math) | $7+\text { Level } 2$ <br> Subjects | 5+ Level 2 <br> Subjects (inc. Eng and Math) | 5+ <br> Level 2 <br> Subjec <br> ts | L2 <br> Engl ish | L2 <br> Maths |
| Year 11 Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 413 | 7.5 | 136 | 156 | 155 | 225 | 273 | 164 |
| 2021 | 454 | 8.3 | 159 | 187 | 183 | 272 | 305 | 196 |
| 2020 | 366 | 8.4 | 179 | 188 | 204 | 263 | 305 | 209 |
| 2019 | 365 | 8.2 | 116 | 129 | 143 | 227 | 275 | 148 |
| 2018 | 391 | 7.6 | 118 | 130 | 146 | 194 | 254 | 155 |
| 2017 | 406 | 7.9 | 129 | 134 | 172 | 218 | 275 | 186 |
| John Gray High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 218 | 7.4 | 69 | 81 | 73 | 118 | 143 | 73 |
| 2021 | 245 | 8.6 | 76 | 99 | 79 | 141 | 152 | 86 |
| 2020 | 174 | 8.7 | 84 | 88 | 93 | 126 | 143 | 95 |
| 2019 | 224 | 8.2 | 66 | 76 | 82 | 138 | 167 | 86 |
| 2018 | 203 | 7.5 | 62 | 72 | 68 | 101 | 129 | 73 |
| 2017 | 230 | 7.8 | 66 | 70 | 88 | 121 | 148 | 92 |
| Clifton Hunter High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 169 | 7.5 | 53 | 61 | 66 | 90 | 110 | 74 |
| 2021 | 176 | 7.7 | 66 | 70 | 86 | 109 | 126 | 92 |
| 2020 | 171 | 7.9 | 80 | 82 | 95 | 116 | 142 | 97 |
| 2019 | 123 | 7.9 | 39 | 42 | 48 | 72 | 91 | 48 |
| 2018 | 167 | 7.6 | 49 | 51 | 67 | 77 | 109 | 70 |
| 2017 | 158 | 7.8 | 48 | 49 | 69 | 82 | 112 | 79 |
| Layman Scott Snr. High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 26 | 8.5 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 17 |
| 2021 | 33 | 9.0 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 27 | 18 |
| 2020 | 21 | 9.8 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 21 | 20 | 17 |
| 2019 | 18 | 9.4 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
| 2018 | 21 | 8.67 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 12 |
| 2017 | 18 | 9.5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

Table H2
Year 11 Level 2 external examinations' results summary data (2017-2022) by school
Percentages

|  | Cohort Size | Average no. Of entries/ student | ```7+ Level 2 Subjects (inc Eng and Mat)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7+ Level } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { Subjects } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \\ \text { (inc Eng } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Math) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5+\text { Level } \\ & 2 \\ & \text { Subjects } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { L2 } \\ \text { English } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { L2 } \\ \text { Maths } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 413 | 7 | 33 | 38 | 38 | 54 | 66 | 40 |
| 2021 | 454 | 8 | 35 | 41 | 40 | 60 | 67 | 43 |
| 2020 | 366 | 8 | 49 | 51 | 56 | 72 | 83 | 57 |
| 2019 | 365 | 8 | 32 | 35 | 39 | 62 | 75 | 41 |
| 2018 | 391 | 8 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 50 | 65 | 40 |
| 2017 | 406 | 8 | 32 | 33 | 42 | 54 | 68 | 46 |
| John Gray High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 218 | 7 | 32 | 37 | 33 | 54 | 66 | 33 |
| 2021 | 245 | 9 | 31 | 40 | 32 | 58 | 62 | 35 |
| 2020 | 174 | 9 | 48 | 51 | 53 | 72 | 82 | 55 |
| 2019 | 224 | 8 | 29 | 34 | 37 | 62 | 75 | 38 |
| 2018 | 203 | 8 | 31 | 35 | 33 | 50 | 64 | 36 |
| 2017 | 230 | 8 | 29 | 30 | 38 | 53 | 64 | 40 |
| Clifton Hunter High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 169 | 7 | 31 | 36 | 39 | 53 | 65 | 44 |
| 2021 | 176 | 8 | 38 | 40 | 49 | 62 | 72 | 52 |
| 2020 | 171 | 8 | 47 | 48 | 56 | 68 | 83 | 57 |
| 2019 | 123 | 8 | 32 | 34 | 39 | 59 | 74 | 39 |
| 2018 | 167 | 8 | 29 | 31 | 40 | 46 | 65 | 42 |
| 2017 | 158 | 8 | 30 | 31 | 44 | 52 | 71 | 50 |
| Layman E. Scott Snr. High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 26 | 8 | 54 | 54 | 62 | 65 | 77 | 65 |
| 2021 | 33 | 9 | 52 | 55 | 55 | 67 | 82 | 55 |
| 2020 | 21 | 10 | 71 | 86 | 76 | 100 | 95 | 81 |
| 2019 | 18 | 9 | 61 | 61 | 72 | 94 | 94 | 78 |
| 2018 | 21 | 9 | 33 | 33 | 52 | 76 | 76 | 57 |
| 2017 | 18 | 10 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |

## Appendix

## Percentage of students achieving at least one science subject



Percentage of students achieving at least one science subject: Comparison between performance in Year 11 and 12 for the same cohort

Year 12 Cummulative Results: Percentage of cohort with at least one science (Progess over the previous year's results - Year 11)


## Appendix J

KS4 Summary Results (Year 12) - Actual numbers
Table J1
Year 12 Level 2 external examinations summary data (2017-2022) by gender

| Year | Cohort <br> Size | Average <br> no. Of <br> entries/ <br> student | 7+ Level <br> Subjects <br> (inc Eng <br> \& Mat) | 7+ Level <br> Subjects | 5+ Level 2 <br> Subjects (inc <br> Eng and Mat) | 5+ Level 2 <br> Subjects | L2 <br> English | Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 12 Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 459 | 8.9 | 189 | 241 | 209 | 328 | 350 | 221 |
| 2021 | 365 | 9.1 | 222 | 253 | 232 | 302 | 317 | 234 |
| 2020 | 359 | 8.8 | 158 | 195 | 193 | 273 | 311 | 202 |
| 2019 | 397 | 9.3 | 159 | 189 | 188 | 269 | 293 | 196 |
| 2018 | 403 | 9.2 | 172 | 198 | 219 | 289 | 315 | 228 |
| 2017 | 402 | 9.6 | 164 | 209 | 204 | 287 | 295 | 212 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 240 | 9.4 | 112 | 149 | 119 | 203 | 207 | 123 |
| 2021 | 188 | 9.3 | 128 | 148 | 134 | 170 | 176 | 133 |
| 2020 | 171 | 9.2 | 87 | 114 | 96 | 142 | 165 | 100 |
| 2019 | 191 | 9.7 | 98 | 115 | 106 | 149 | 161 | 108 |
| 2018 | 215 | 9.7 | 121 | 139 | 136 | 175 | 190 | 139 |
| 2017 | 198 | 10.1 | 103 | 126 | 121 | 167 | 169 | 124 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 219 | 8.4 | 77 | 92 | 90 | 125 | 143 | 98 |
| 2021 | 177 | 8.8 | 94 | 105 | 100 | 132 | 141 | 101 |
| 2020 | 188 | 8.4 | 71 | 81 | 97 | 131 | 156 | 102 |
| 2019 | 206 | 8.9 | 61 | 75 | 82 | 120 | 132 | 88 |
| 2018 | 188 | 8.7 | 51 | 59 | 83 | 114 | 124 | 89 |
| 2017 | 204 | 9.1 | 61 | 83 | 83 | 120 | 126 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table J2
CIFEC 12 Level 2 external examinations summary data (2017-2021) by gender (Actual Numbers)

| Year | Cohort Size | Average no. Of entries/ student | 7+ Level 2 Subjects (inc Eng \& Mat) | $\begin{gathered} 7+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \end{gathered}$ | 5+ Level 2 Subjects (inc Eng and Math) | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ <br> Subjects | Level 2 English | Level 2 Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 426 | 8.9 | 170 | 220 | 190 | 303 | 323 | 201 |
| 2021 | 343 | 9.1 | 206 | 234 | 215 | 281 | 297 | 216 |
| 2020 | 340 | 8.8 | 144 | 181 | 177 | 255 | 293 | 186 |
| 2019 | 376 | 9.3 | 146 | 175 | 173 | 249 | 273 | 181 |
| 2018 | 385 | 9.2 | 157 | 183 | 204 | 274 | 298 | 213 |
| 2017 | 377 | 9.7 | 152 | 197 | 189 | 269 | 278 | 197 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 221 | 9.4 | 100 | 135 | 107 | 186 | 189 | 110 |
| 2021 | 179 | 9.3 | 121 | 140 | 125 | 161 | 167 | 125 |
| 2020 | 161 | 9.2 | 79 | 106 | 87 | 132 | 155 | 91 |
| 2019 | 183 | 9.7 | 92 | 108 | 100 | 141 | 153 | 102 |
| 2018 | 201 | 9.6 | 108 | 126 | 123 | 162 | 176 | 126 |
| 2017 | 188 | 10.1 | 98 | 121 | 114 | 159 | 160 | 117 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 205 | 8.4 | 70 | 85 | 83 | 117 | 134 | 91 |
| 2021 | 164 | 8.8 | 85 | 94 | 91 | 120 | 130 | 91 |
| 2020 | 179 | 8.4 | 65 | 75 | 90 | 123 | 148 | 95 |
| 2019 | 193 | 8.8 | 54 | 67 | 73 | 108 | 120 | 79 |
| 2018 | 184 | 8.7 | 49 | 57 | 81 | 112 | 122 | 87 |
| 2017 | 189 | 9.2 | 54 | 76 | 75 | 110 | 118 | 80 |

Table J3
LSHS Level 2 external examinations summary data (2017-2022) by gender (Actual Numbers)
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cohort } \\ \text { Size }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { no. Of } \\ \text { entries } \\ \text { student }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 7+ Level } \\ \text { Subjects } \\ \text { (inc Eng } \\ \text { \& Mat) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 7+ Level } \\ \text { Subjects }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 5+ Level } \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \text { Subjects } \\ \text { (inc Eng } \\ \text { and }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 5+ Level } \\ \text { Subjects }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Level 2 } \\ \text { English }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Level } \\ \mathbf{2}\end{array} \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \text { Math) }\end{array}\right)$

Table J4
Year 12 Level 2 external examinations summary data (2017-2022) by gender

| Year | Cohort Size | Average no. Of entries/ student | 7+ Level 2 Subjects (inc Eng \& Mat) | $\begin{gathered} 7+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ <br> Subjects (inc Eng and Math) | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \end{gathered}$ | Level 2 English | Level 2 Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIFEC Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 426 | 8.9 | 39.9 | 51.6 | 44.6 | 71.1 | 75.8 | 47.2 |
| 2021 | 343 | 9.1 | 60.1 | 68.2 | 62.7 | 81.9 | 86.6 | 63.0 |
| 2020 | 340 | 8.8 | 42.4 | 53.2 | 52.1 | 75.0 | 86.2 | 54.7 |
| 2019 | 376 | 9.3 | 38.8 | 46.5 | 46.0 | 66.2 | 72.6 | 48.1 |
| 2018 | 385 | 9.2 | 40.8 | 47.5 | 53.0 | 71.2 | 77.4 | 55.3 |
| 2017 | 377 | 9.7 | 40.3 | 52.3 | 50.1 | 71.4 | 73.7 | 52.3 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 221 | 9.4 | 45.2 | 61.1 | 48.4 | 84.2 | 85.5 | 49.8 |
| 2021 | 179 | 9.3 | 67.6 | 78.2 | 69.8 | 89.9 | 93.3 | 69.8 |
| 2020 | 161 | 9.2 | 49.1 | 65.8 | 54.0 | 82.0 | 96.3 | 56.5 |
| 2019 | 183 | 9.7 | 50.3 | 59.0 | 54.6 | 77.0 | 83.6 | 55.7 |
| 2018 | 201 | 9.6 | 53.7 | 62.7 | 61.2 | 80.6 | 87.6 | 62.7 |
| 2017 | 188 | 10.1 | 52.1 | 64.4 | 60.6 | 84.6 | 85.1 | 62.2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 205 | 8.4 | 34.1 | 41.5 | 40.5 | 57.1 | 65.4 | 44.4 |
| 2021 | 164 | 8.8 | 51.8 | 57.3 | 55.5 | 73.2 | 79.3 | 55.5 |
| 2020 | 179 | 8.4 | 36.3 | 41.9 | 50.3 | 68.7 | 82.7 | 53.1 |
| 2019 | 193 | 8.8 | 28.0 | 34.7 | 37.8 | 56.0 | 62.2 | 40.9 |
| 2018 | 184 | 8.7 | 26.6 | 31.0 | 44.0 | 60.9 | 66.3 | 47.3 |
| 2017 | 189 | 9.2 | 28.6 | 40.2 | 39.7 | 58.2 | 62.4 | 42.3 |

TableJ4
Year 12 Level 2 external examinations summary data (2017-2021) by gender

| Year | Cohort Size | Average no. Of entries/ student | 7+ Level 2 Subjects (inc Eng \& Mat) | $\begin{gathered} 7+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ <br> Subjects (inc Eng and Math) | $\begin{gathered} 5+\text { Level } \\ 2 \\ \text { Subjects } \end{gathered}$ | Level 2 <br> English | Level 2 Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIFEC Cohort ${ }_{\text {c\| }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 33 | 9.3 | 57.6 | 63.6 | 57.6 | 75.8 | 81.8 | 60.6 |
| 2021 | 22 | 9.5 | 72.7 | 86.4 | 77.3 | 95.5 | 90.9 | 81.8 |
| 2020 | 19 | 9.2 | 73.7 | 73.7 | 84.2 | 94.7 | 94.7 | 84.2 |
| 2019 | 21 | 9.4 | 61.9 | 66.7 | 71.4 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 71.4 |
| 2018 | 18 | 9.8 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 94.4 | 83.3 |
| 2017 | 25 | 8.4 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 72.0 | 68.0 | 60.0 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 19 | 9.4 | 63.2 | 73.7 | 63.2 | 89.5 | 94.7 | 68.4 |
| 2021 | 9 | 10.2 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.9 |
| 2020 | 10 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 |
| 2019 | 8 | 9.0 | 75.0 | 87.5 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 |
| 2018 | 14 | 10.4 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 92.9 |
| 2017 | 10 | 9.2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 70.0 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 14 | 9.2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 57.1 | 64.3 | 50.0 |
| 2021 | 13 | 9.2 | 69.2 | 84.6 | 69.2 | 92.3 | 84.6 | 76.9 |
| 2020 | 9 | 8.7 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 77.8 |
| 2019 | 13 | 9.6 | 53.8 | 61.5 | 69.2 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 69.2 |
| 2018 | 4 | 8.8 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 2017 | 15 | 8.1 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 66.7 | 53.3 | 53.3 |

## Data Report

for the Academic Year 2021-22

Appendix K
Subject Performance Summary

| Cohort Size: 459 |  |  | Grade Distribution Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Students by Category |  |  |  |  |  | Percentages by Entry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | $\begin{gathered} \text { No of } \\ \text { Entries } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of Cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | All | B/II | C/III | D/IV | E/V F | F/VI | GU |  | Abs/No Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | No of Top grades $\left(A^{\star} / \mathrm{A} I\right)$ | No of <br> Level <br> 2 <br> Passes | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No of } \\ \text { Level } \\ 1 \& 2 \\ \text { Passes } \end{gathered}$ | No of Ungraded (U/UNG*) | No of Absent/No Result | Results <br> Pendin <br> g <br> (Q/NYA) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top } \\ \text { grades } \\ \left(\mathrm{A}^{*} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Level 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 182 \end{gathered}$ | Ungraded | Absent/No Result | Results Pending (Q/NYA) |
| Design \& Tourism (GCSE) | 17 | 3.7\% | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 17 | 7 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41.2\% | 94.1\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| English as an Additional Language (EAL) <br> (iGCSE) | 4 | 0.9\% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Mathematics (iGCSE) | 14 | 3.1\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 85.7\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Music (GCSE) | 15 | 3.3\% | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 15 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.7\% | 93.3\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Spanish (GCSE) | 37 | 8.1\% | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 37 | 15 | 28 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.5\% | 75.7\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Statistics (GCSE) | 74 | 16.1\% | 20 | 20 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 74 | 40 | 73 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54.1\% | 98.6\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Accounts (CSEC) | 64 | 13.9\% | 0 | 10 | 26 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 64 | 10 | 45 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6\% | 70.3\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Biology (CSEC) | 106 | 23.1\% | 0 | 18 | 39 | 34 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 1 | 106 | 18 | 91 | 105 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17.0\% | 85.8\% | 99.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Building Tech (CSEC) | 46 | 10.0\% | 0 | 9 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 46 | 9 | 38 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19.6\% | 82.6\% | 91.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Business (CSEC) | 175 | 38.1\% | 0 | 44 | 55 | 52 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 175 | 44 | 151 | 172 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25.1\% | 86.3\% | 98.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Chemistry (CSEC) | 59 | 12.9\% | 0 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 59 | 8 | 31 | 56 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13.6\% | 52.5\% | 94.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% |
| EDPM (CSEC) | 428 | 93.2\% | 0 | 143 | 115 | 96 | 36 | 22 | 1 | 01 |  | 14 | 428 | 143 | 354 | 413 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 33.4\% | 82.7\% | 96.5\% | 0.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Electrical (CSEC) | 51 | 11.1\% | 0 | 1 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 51 | 1 | 38 | 45 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2.0\% | 74.5\% | 88.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% |
| English (CSEC) | 449 | 97.8\% | 0 | 97 | 133 | 120 | 62 | 34 | 0 | 00 |  | 3 | 449 | 97 | 350 | 446 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 21.6\% | 78.0\% | 99.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
| English Lit (CSEC) | 154 | 33.6\% | 0 | 7 | 54 | 41 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 00 |  | 3 | 154 | 7 | 102 | 151 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4.5\% | 66.2\% | 98.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Family Resource Management (CSEC) | 17 | 3.7\% | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 88.2\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Food \& Nutrition (CSEC) | 106 | 23.1\% | 0 | 21 | 27 | 38 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 00 |  | 3 | 106 | 21 | 86 | 103 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 19.8\% | 81.1\% | 97.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Geography (CSEC) | 21 | 4.6\% | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 21 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 90.5\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| History (CSEC) | 21 | 4.6\% | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 21 | 2 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.5\% | 71.4\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Human \& Social Biology | 221 | 48.1\% | 0 | 55 | 58 | 29 | 33 | 23 | 2 | 01 |  | 20 | 221 | 55 | 142 | 200 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 24.9\% | 64.3\% | 90.5\% | 0.5\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Integrated Science (CSEC) | 255 | 55.6\% | 0 | 23 | 71 | 79 | 55 | 21 | 1 | 00 |  | 5 | 255 | 23 | 173 | 250 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9.0\% | 67.8\% | 98.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Information Technology (CSEC) | 87 | 19.0\% | 0 | 30 | 45 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 1 | 87 | 30 | 86 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34.5\% | 98.9\% | 98.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Mathematics (CSEC) | 450 | 98.0\% | 0 | 40 | 82 | 99 | 137 | 87 | 0 | 00 |  | 5 | 450 | 40 | 221 | 445 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8.9\% | 49.1\% | 98.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Office Administration (CSEC) | 75 | 16.3\% | 0 | 8 | 18 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 02 |  | 3 | 75 | 8 | 63 | 70 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10.7\% | 84.0\% | 93.3\% | 2.7\% | 4.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Physical Education (CSEC) | 142 | 30.9\% | 0 | 82 | 45 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 01 |  | 9 | 142 | 82 | 129 | 132 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 57.7\% | 90.8\% | 93.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Physics (CSEC) | 44 | 9.6\% | 0 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 1 | 44 | 7 | 35 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15.9\% | 79.5\% | 97.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% |
| ReligiousEducation (CSEC) | 27 | 5.9\% | 0 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 27 | 5 | 26 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.5\% | 96.3\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Social Studies (CSEC) | 109 | 23.7\% | 0 | 4 | 30 | 39 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 109 | 4 | 73 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.7\% | 67.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Spanish (CSEC) | 48 | 10.5\% | 0 | 21 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 00 |  | 2 | 48 | 21 | 38 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 43.8\% | 79.2\% | 95.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Technical Drawing (CSEC) | 64 | 13.9\% | 0 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 5 | 64 | 16 | 44 | 59 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25.0\% | 68.8\% | 92.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Textiles Clothing \& Fashion (CSEC) | 12 | 2.6\% | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 75.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Theatre Arts (CSEC) | 10 | 2.2\% | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Visual Arts (CSEC) | 47 | 10.2\% | 0 | 7 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 47 | 7 | 39 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14.9\% | 83.0\% | 95.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Communication Studies (CAPE) | 24 | 5.2\% | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 24 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Caribbean Studies (CAPE) | 24 | 5.2\% | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 24 | 3 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Physical Education (CAPE Unit1) | 3 | 0.7\% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Art \&Design BTEC Ext Certificate | 9 | 2.0\% | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Business BTEC Certificate | 62 | 13.5\% | 0 | 5 | 10 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 14 | 62 | 0 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0\% | 77.4\% | 77.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.6\% |
| Cleatlve wieula FToutcturnotel Cortifinato | 27 | 5.9\% | 4 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 3 | 27 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |
| Creative Media Production BTEC Extended Certificate | 23 | 5.0\% | 1 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Construction BTEC Certificate | 6 | 1.3\% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Health \& Social Care BTEC Extended Certificate | 12 | 2.6\% | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hospitality BTEC Certificate | 54 | 11.8\% | 0 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 6 | 54 | 0 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |
| Information Technology BTEC Certificate | 51 | 11.1\% | 17 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 15 | 51 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0.0\% | 70.6\% | 70.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 25.5\% |
| Information Technology BTEC Extended Certificate | 9 | 2.0\% | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Performing Arts BTEC Extended Certificate | 15 | 3.3\% | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sport \& Leisure BTEC Certificate | 22 | 4.8\% | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 4 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0\% | 81.8\% | 81.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% |
| Motor Vehicle Award | 58 | 12.6\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Motor Vehicle Certificate | 22 | 4.8\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Workskills L1 BTEC Certificate | 3 | 0.7\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |
| Workskills L2 BTEC Certificate | 41 | 8.9\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 6 | 41 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0\% | 85.4\% | 85.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% |
| CoPE L1/L2 | 85 | 18.5\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 85 | 0 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Religious Education - SC (GCSE) | 9 | 2.0\% | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 01 |  | 0 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4\% | 66.7\% | 88.9\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| C\&G English Skills Stage1 | 5 | 1.1\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 80.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| C\&G English Skills Stage2 | 9 | 2.0\% | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 77.8\% | 77.8\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| C\&G Mathematic Skills Stage1 | 4 | 0.9\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 75.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| C\&G Mathematic Skills Stage2 | 13 | 2.8\% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 46.2\% | 46.2\% | 53.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| C\&G Mathematic Skills Stage 3 | 2 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Business L3 BTEC Certificate | 9 | 2.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 2 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0\% | 77.8\% | 77.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 22.2\% |

